

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/917,398	07/27/2001	Miodrag Temerinac	Micronas.5877	9784
7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP			FERRIS, DERRICK W	
Suite 3300 225 Franklin St	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02110			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,398	TEMERINAC, MIODRAG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Derrick W. Ferris	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2001</u> .					
·	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attached</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/917,398 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/10568 to Aironet Wireless Communications ("Aironet").

As to **claim 1**, *Aironet* teaches a second embodiment which adjusts the modulation scheme. In particular, figure 3 shows a packet 300 comprising of a control section as a header 310 and a data section as data bits 320. *Aironet* also teaches that the header 310 and the data section are transmitted at different rates, see e.g., page 17, lines 6-16. As the invention teaches multiple combinations, one combination is sending the header 310 at a slower rate than the data bits 320. Thus the claims limitations are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

Application/Control Number: 09/917,398

4. Claims 2, 3, 6, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/10568 to Aironet Wireless Communications ("Aironet") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,982,807 A to Snell.

As such to **claim 2**, *Aironet* discloses the limitations in the base claim. In addition, figure 3 also teaches situating the control section before the data section. *Aironet* also teaches the higher reception data rate being at least as high as the transmission data rate of the data section by teaching a middle and a fast rate (i.e., 11 chip BPSK and 11 chip QPSK respectively).

Aironet is silent or deficient to the further limitation of using a control signal that switches the receiver to a higher data rate for receiving the data of the data section.

Snell teaches the further recited limitation above at e.g., column 6, lines 47-67 through the use of a SIGNAL field for a PLCP header. Also see e.g., figure 3. For the purpose of the rejection, the PLCP header is part of the header. Snell also teaches that the header may be at a different rate then the data portion, see e.g., column 7, lines 5-15.

The proposed modification of the above-applied reference(s) necessary to arrive at the claimed subject matter would be to modify *Aironet* by clarifying the use of a SIGNAL field which specifies the type of data rate/modulation used.

As such, examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art prior to applicant's invention to include the above limitation. In particular, the motivation for modifying the reference or to combine the reference teachings would be to obtain higher data rates and switch "on-the-fly" between different data rates and/or formats. In particular, *Snell* cures the above-cited deficiency by providing a motivation found at e.g.,

Application/Control Number: 09/917,398

Art Unit: 2663

column 2, lines 13-30. Second, there would be a reasonable expectation of success since both references teach spread spectrum. Thus the references either in singular or in combination teach the above claim limitation(s).

As to **claim 3**, see e.g., column 6, lines 48-67 of *Snell* which teaches e.g., 1 Mbps BPSK and 5.5 Mbps BPSK.

As to **claim 6**, see similar rejection to claim 2. In addition, both references teach a SYNC field, see e.g., figure 3 of *Snell* and page 17, lines 6-16 of *Aironet*. An address field is also taught as receiver system setup data as taught e.g., at page 17, lines 6-16 of *Aironet* since the receiver is acknowledged individually. An acknowledgment is also taught by *Aironet* at e.g., page 16, lines 10-15 with respect to negative and positive acknowledgments which are used to adjust the data rate.

As to claim 9, see similar rejection to claim 2.

As to **claim 10**, see similar rejection to claim 6 with respect to acknowledgements.

As to **claim 11**, see similar rejection to claim 1 with respect to data rate.

5. Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/10568 to Aironet Wireless Communications ("Aironet") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,982,807 A to Snell and in further view U.S. Patent No. 4,899,367 A to Sampei.

As such to **claim** 4, *Aironet* and *Snell* may be silent or deficient to the further limitation wherein the transmission and reception data rate is increased by increasing the multi-valent symbol coding of the transmitted data, while retaining the channel bandwidth (B) and the symbol period (Tsymbol).

Sampei teaches the above motivation with respect to figure 10 since both GMSK and 256 QAM are taught at a same frequency (i.e., retaining the channel bandwidth (B) and same symbol period).

Thus the examiner proposes to modify *Aironet* and *Snell* to further include GMSK and 256 as modulation schemes. Examiner notes the above example is the same example presented in applicant's figure 2.

As such, examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art prior to applicant's invention to include the above limitation. In particular, the motivation for modifying the reference or to combine the reference teachings would be to obtain higher data rates and switch "on-the-fly" between different data rates and/or formats. In particular, *Snell* cures the above-cited deficiency by providing a motivation found at e.g., column 2, lines 13-30. In addition, Snell also teaches that different modulation types are used, see e.g., column 6, lines 26-34.

As to **claim 5**, see combined rejections for claims 3 and 4. As such, see e.g., column 6, lines 48-67 of *Snell* and top of page 15 of *Aironet* with respect to selecting different modulation techniques where the data rate is increased by both increasing the channel bandwidth with time-compression of the transmitted symbols and by increasing the symbol coding of the transmitted data. *Sampei* further teaches using GMSK as a modulation scheme.

As to claim 7, see similar rejection to claim 4.

As to claim 8, see similar rejection to claim 5.

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick W. Ferris whose telephone number is (571) 272-3123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M. - 4:30 P.M. E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick W. Ferris Examiner Art Unit 2663

Jenck Wferrs

